

# NOTES ON EQUIVARIANT D-MODULES

T. LEVASSEUR

## 1. GENERALITIES

All the varieties considered in these notes are quasi-projective algebraic varieties defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth algebraic variety. We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_X$  the sheaf of regular functions on  $X$  and by  $\mathcal{D}_X$  the sheaf of differential operators. The rings of global sections will be denoted by  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  respectively. We refer to [3] for the basic properties of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. All the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules encountered in the sequel will be quasi-coherent. The category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules is denoted by  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_X$ .

Let  $F : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism between varieties. The comorphism of  $F$  is denoted by  $F^\#$  and the inverse image of an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $M$  by

$$F^*M = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{F^\#} M.$$

When  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine, there exists a natural map

$$F^\# : M \rightarrow F^*M, \quad v \mapsto 1_Y \otimes_{F^\#} v.$$

Suppose that  $Z = X \times Y$  is the product of two varieties. Let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $N$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module; the  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -module  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} N$  will be denoted by  $M \boxtimes N$ .

In this section we recall the definitions, and well known properties, of equivariant  $D$ -modules. Our main references are [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15] where the reader will find the proof of the results stated below.

Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group and  $V$  be a smooth affine algebraic  $G$ -variety. Let  $e$  be the unit in  $G$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : G \times V &\rightarrow V, & (g, v) &\mapsto g.v \quad (\text{the action of } G \text{ on } V) \\ \mu_G : G \times G &\rightarrow G, & \mu_G(g, h) &= gh \quad (\text{the multiplication in } G) \\ s : G &\rightarrow G, & s(g) &= g^{-1} \quad (\text{the inverse in } G) \\ (1.1) \quad \varepsilon : \{e\} &\hookrightarrow G & (\text{the inclusion}) \\ p_2 : G \times V &\rightarrow V, & p_2(g, v) &= v \\ p_{23} : G \times G \times V &\rightarrow G \times V, & p_{23}(g, h, v) &= (h, v) \\ \varepsilon_V : V &\rightarrow G \times V, & \varepsilon_V(v) &= (e, v) \end{aligned}$$

We then set:  $\Delta = \mu_G^\#, S = s^\#, \epsilon = \varepsilon_V^\#$ .

Let  $M$  be a rational  $G$ -module; the  $G$ -action on  $M$  is denoted by  $g.a$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $a \in M$ . Recall that the  $G$ -module structure is equivalent to a left comodule structure  $\lambda_M : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes M$ , such that

$$g.a = a_{(1)}(g^{-1})a_{(2)}$$

where we have used the (abbreviated) Sweedler notation  $\lambda_M(a) = a_{(1)} \boxtimes a_{(2)}$ ,  $a_{(1)} \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ ,  $a_{(2)} \in M$ . This applies in particular to  $M = \mathcal{O}(V)$  with the action  $(g \cdot \varphi)(x) = \varphi(g^{-1} \cdot x)$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in V$ . The corresponding coaction is denoted by  $\lambda_V$ . When  $V = G$  and  $G$  acts via left translations, we have  $\lambda_G = \Delta$ .

Suppose furthermore that the  $G$ -module  $M$  has an  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -module structure. Then we say that  $M$  is a  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -module if the  $G$  and  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  actions are compatible, i.e.  $g \cdot (\varphi a) = (g \cdot \varphi)(g \cdot a)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $a \in M$ . This translates into  $\lambda_M(\varphi a) = \lambda_V(\varphi) \lambda_M(a)$  for the coactions. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$  the category of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -modules.

Recall that  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$  if and only if there exists an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{G \times V}$ -modules

$$(1.2) \quad \theta : p_2^* M = \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^* M$$

such that

$$(1.3) \quad \varepsilon_V^*(\theta) = 1_M, \quad (\mu_G \times 1_V)^*(\theta) = (1_G \times \mu)^*(\theta) \circ p_{23}^*(\theta).$$

When the coaction  $\lambda_M$  is given the isomorphism  $\theta$  is:

$$\theta(a \boxtimes v) = a S v_{(1)} \otimes_{\mu^\#} v_{(2)}.$$

Endow  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(G \times V) = \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(V)$  and  $p_2^* M = \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes M$  with the action induced by left translation on  $G$ . It is then easily seen that  $\theta$  is  $G$ -linear when  $G$  acts on  $\mu^* M$  by

$$g \cdot (b \otimes_{\mu^\#} m) = g \cdot b \otimes_{\mu^\#} g \cdot m$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(V)$  and  $m \in M$ . For these actions, since  $(\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes M)^G = \mathbb{C} \boxtimes M$ , we obtain the isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathbb{C} \boxtimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mu^* M)^G.$$

Recall that the  $G$ -action on  $V$  induces a rational  $G$ -module structure on the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(V)$ , given by

$$(g \cdot D) \cdot \varphi = g \cdot (D \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot \varphi))$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}(V)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ . (We denote by  $D \cdot \varphi$  the natural action of  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{O}(V)$ .) The corresponding coaction extends the coaction  $\lambda_V$  and we will still denote it by  $\lambda_V : \mathcal{D}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}(V)$ .

Let  $M \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_V$ . The module  $M$  is said to be a *weakly  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_V$ -module* if  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$  and

$$g \cdot (D \cdot v) = (g \cdot D) \cdot (g \cdot v)$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}(V)$  and  $v \in M$ . (This is equivalent to saying that  $\lambda_M(D \cdot v) = \lambda_V(D) \lambda_M(v)$ .) We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G^w)$  the category of weakly  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}(V)$ -modules. Then, a module  $M \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_V$  is weakly  $G$ -equivariant if, and only if, there exists a map  $\theta$  as in (1.2) & (1.3) which is  $\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}(V)$  linear.

The differential of the  $G$ -action on  $V$  yields a Lie algebra map,  $\tau_V$ , from  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  to the Lie algebra  $\Theta_V$  of vector fields on  $V$ . It is defined by

$$(\tau_V(\xi) \cdot \varphi)(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \varphi(\exp(-t\xi) \cdot x)$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $x \in V$ . Notice that  $\tau_V(\xi)$  identifies with a derivation of  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  and that  $\tau_V(\text{Ad}(g) \cdot \xi) = g \cdot \tau_V(\xi)$  for all  $g \in G$ . The differential of the

$G$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  is then given by

$$\xi.D = [\tau_V(\xi), D], \text{ for all } D \in \mathcal{D}(V).$$

Let  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$ . The differential of the  $G$ -action on  $M$  gives a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on  $M$ :

$$\xi.v = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi).v), \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in M.$$

The module  $M$  is called a  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_V$ -module if  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G^w)$  and

$$(1.4) \quad \tau_V(\xi).v = \xi.v, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } v \in M.$$

Then, a module  $M \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_V$  is  $G$ -equivariant if, and only if, there exists a map  $\theta$  as in (1.2) & (1.3) which is  $\mathcal{D}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}(V)$  linear.

*Remarks.* (1) Let  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$ . If  $v \in M^G$  we have  $\lambda_M(v) = 1_G \boxtimes v$  and therefore  $\theta(1_G \boxtimes v) = 1_{G \times V} \otimes_{\mu^\#} v$ .

(2) Set

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_V / \mathcal{D}_V \tau_V(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G)$ . Moreover, when  $G$  is connected every subquotient of  $\mathcal{N}$  is in  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G)$  (see [9, 14]).

(3) Suppose that  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G)$ . Then, when  $G$  is connected:

$$\{M = \mathcal{D}_V.v \text{ with } v \in M^G\} \iff \{M \text{ is a quotient of } \mathcal{N}\}$$

The definitions of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_V, G)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G^w)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_V, G)$  carry over to the case when the smooth variety  $V$  is not necessarily affine, see [3, 8, 15]. For instance,  $M \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_V$  is  $G$ -equivariant if there exists an isomorphism  $\theta : \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^* M$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times V}$ -modules which satisfies the conditions of (1.3).

Let  $F : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -equivariant morphism between (not necessarily affine) smooth  $G$ -varieties. Recall that if  $M \in \text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_X$  one defines the *inverse image* of  $M$  by setting

$$F^! M = (\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L M)[d_{Y,X}]$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} = F^* \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{F^\#} \mathcal{D}_X$  and  $d_{Y,X} = \dim Y - \dim X$ . The inverse image is an object in the derived category  $D^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)$  of  $\text{Mod } \mathcal{D}_Y$ , and the construction of  $F^!$  extends to  $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}$  is a weakly  $G$ -invariant *right*  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module for the  $G$ -action  $g.(\varphi \otimes_{F^\#} D) = g.\varphi \otimes_{F^\#} g.D$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

Assume that  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_X, G)$  and let  $\theta_M : p_{2,X}^* M \rightarrow \mu_X^* M$  be the associated isomorphism (with obvious notation). Set  $r = \dim G$ . Since  $p_{2,X}$  and  $\mu_X$  are smooth,  $p_{2,X}^! M$  and  $\mu_X^! M$  have cohomology concentrated in degree  $-r$ , equal to  $p_{2,X}^* M$  and  $\mu_X^* M$  respectively. Thus one can consider  $\theta_M$  as an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times X}$ -modules between  $p_{2,X}^! M$  and  $\mu_X^! M$ . It follows from base change that we have an isomorphism in  $D^b(\mathcal{D}_{G \times Y})$

$$\theta_{F^! M} : p_{2,Y}^! F^! M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_Y^! F^! M.$$

Indeed, the isomorphism  $\theta_{F^! M}$  is defined by the left vertical arrow which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_Y^! F^! M & \xlongequal{\quad} & (1_G \times F)^! \mu_X^! M \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (1_G \times F)^!(\theta_M) \\ p_{2,Y}^! F^! M & \xlongequal{\quad} & (1_G \times F)^! p_{2,X}^! M \end{array}$$

Therefore, since  $p_{2,Y}$  and  $\mu_Y$  are smooth, we obtain isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times Y}$ -modules in cohomology:

$$\theta_{F^!M} : p_{2,Y}^* \mathcal{H}^j(F^!M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_Y^* \mathcal{H}^j(F^!M).$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{H}^j(F^!M)$  has a natural induced structure of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module. In particular, the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}^j(F^!M)$  is uniquely determined by the action of  $G$  on  $M$ . To compute this action one may proceed as follows.

Suppose that we are given a resolution  $(P^\bullet, d)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}$  by weakly  $G$ -equivariant right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules, see [6, Lemma 4.7] and [8, Proposition 2.1], such that each  $P^k$  is projective as a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module and  $d$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Then, since  $(P^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} M, d \otimes 1_M) = F^!M[-d_{Y,X}]$ , the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}^j(F^!M)$  is induced by the diagonal  $G$ -action on  $P^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} M$ .

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times Y \rightarrow G \times X} = \mathcal{D}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}$ , the objects  $(1_G \times F)^! \mu_X^! M$  and  $(1_G \times F)^! p_{2,X}^! M$  are represented, up to a shift, by

$$(\mathcal{D}_G \boxtimes P^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{G \times X}} \mu_X^! M \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{D}_G \boxtimes P^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{G \times X}} p_{2,X}^! M.$$

Thus the isomorphism  $\theta_{F^!M}$  is induced by

$$(1_G \boxtimes 1_{P^\bullet}) \otimes \theta_M : (\mathcal{D}_G \boxtimes P^\bullet) \otimes p_{2,X}^* M \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_G \boxtimes P^\bullet) \otimes \mu_X^* M.$$

This applies for instance to the morphisms  $p_2$  and  $\mu$ , and the isomorphism  $\theta$  of (1.2) can be viewed as an isomorphism in  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{G \times V}, G)$  between  $p_2^! M = p_2^* M[r]$  and  $\mu^! M = \mu^* M[r]$ .

## 2. REDUCTION TO A SLICE

In this section we apply the results of §1 to the case where the variety  $V$  is a finite dimensional rational  $G$ -module, which we denote by  $E$ . We keep the notation of (1.1). We set  $r = \dim G$ ,  $n = \dim E$  and we fix  $x \in E$ . Denote by  $t_x : y \mapsto y + x$  the translation by  $x$  and let  $\mu_x = \mu \circ (1_G \times t_x) : G \times E \rightarrow E$ ,  $(g, v) \mapsto g.(x + v)$ .

Let  $F \subseteq E$  be a linear subspace of dimension  $m$ . We assume in this section that the following hypothesis holds:

$$(\dagger) \quad \mu_x : G \times F \rightarrow E \text{ is a smooth morphism and } E = F \oplus \mathfrak{g}.x$$

Set  $\mathbf{O} = G.x$ , which is a quasi-affine subvariety of  $E$ . Then, by  $(\dagger)$ ,  $x + F$  is a transverse slice to  $\mathbf{O}$  at the point  $x$ , see [13, §5.1]. Let  $\mathfrak{g}^x$  be the stabilizer of  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and set  $s = \dim \mathfrak{g}^x$ . Then,  $(\dagger)$  implies that

$$\dim \mathbf{O} = r - s = n - m.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exists an affine open neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $F$  such that, if  $\psi$  is the restriction of  $\mu_x$  to  $G \times F$ ,*

- (1)  $\psi$  is smooth on  $Y := G \times U$ ,  $\Omega = \psi(Y) = G.(x + U)$  is a  $G$ -stable open subset of  $E$ ;
- (2)  $\Omega \cap \overline{\mathbf{O}} = \mathbf{O}$  and  $\mathbf{O} \cap \{x + U\} = \{x\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = (x + F) \times_E \mathbf{O}$  be the intersection of  $x + F$  and  $\mathbf{O}$ ; thus  $T$  is a subscheme of  $E$ . From  $(\dagger)$  and [4, IV.17.13.8] it follows that this intersection is transverse of dimension 0 at the point  $x$ . Hence [ibid], there exists an affine open subset  $0 \in U_0 \subseteq F$  such that the intersection  $(x + U_0) \cap \mathbf{O}$  is transverse at  $x$ . In particular  $\dim((x + U_0) \cap \mathbf{O}) = 0$ , therefore  $(x + U_0) \cap \mathbf{O}$  is a finite set [4, O.14.1.9], say  $\{x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , pick an affine open subset

$0 \in U(x_i) \subset F$  such that  $x_i \notin x + U(x_i)$ . Set  $U_1 = U_0 \cap U(x_1) \cap \cdots \cap U(x_t)$ . Then,  $U_1$  is an affine open neighborhood of  $0$  and  $(x + U_1) \cap \mathbf{O} = \{x\}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{O}$  is open in its closure, we can find a  $G$ -stable open subset  $V \subset E$  such that  $V \cap \overline{\mathbf{O}} = \mathbf{O}$ . Define an open neighborhood of  $0$  in  $F$  by:

$$U_2 = p_2\psi^{-1}(V) = \{u \in F : \exists g \in G, g.(x + u) \in V\}$$

Let  $v \in U_2$ ; since  $\psi$  is  $G$ -equivariant, we have  $\psi(G \times \{v\}) \subset V$ . Hence,  $G \times U_2 \subset \psi^{-1}(V)$ . Observe that, if  $0 \in U \subset U_2$  is any open subset,  $\psi(G \times U)$  is open and  $\psi(G \times U) \cap \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O}$ .

Now, let  $U_1$  be as in the first paragraph and choose an affine open subset  $0 \in U \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$ . Then  $U$  satisfies the required properties.  $\square$

We will keep the notation of Proposition 2.1 for the rest of this section. In particular,  $\psi$  will be the smooth morphism

$$\psi : Y = G \times U \longrightarrow E, \quad \psi(g, u) = g.(x + u).$$

Set  $X = x + U$  and notice that  $t_x$  induces an isomorphism (of varieties) from  $U$  onto  $X$ , with inverse  $t_{-x}$ . Define:

$$(2.1) \quad \beta : U \hookrightarrow E, \quad \beta_x : X \hookrightarrow E, \quad \iota : \{x\} \hookrightarrow E \quad (\text{the natural inclusions})$$

Observe that  $\beta_x = t_x \circ \beta \circ t_{-x}$  and  $\psi = \mu_x \circ (1_G \times \beta)$ .

Let  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_E, G)$ . We assume that  $M$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_E$ -module, i.e.  $M$  is a finitely generated  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}(E)$ -module. Recall from §1 that we have an isomorphism of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times E}$ -modules,

$$\theta : p_2^! M = p_2^* M[r] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^* M[r] = \mu^! M.$$

By using the translation  $t_x$  we can construct the  $\mathcal{D}_E$ -module  $t_x^* M$ , which identifies with  $t_x^! M$ . Observe that  $t_x \in \text{Aut}(E)$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(E)$ ,  $(t_x.\varphi)(y) = \varphi(y - x)$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(E)$ ,  $y \in E$ , and therefore yields an automorphism of  $\mathcal{D}(E)$ ,  $(t_x.D)(\varphi) = t_x.D(t_{-x}.\varphi)$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}(E)$ . Then, the  $\mathcal{D}(E)$ -module  $t_x^* M$  can be identified with the vector space  $M$  endowed with the action  $D.u = (t_x.D)u$ . As in §1 we have maps  $t_x^\# : M \rightarrow t_x^* M$  and  $t_{-x}^\# : M \rightarrow t_{-x}^* M$ . We set:

$$t_{-x}.v = t_x^\#(v), \quad t_x.v = t_{-x}^\#(v).$$

We will adopt a similar notation for the inverse images by  $t_x$ , or  $t_{-x}$ , for modules over  $X$ , or  $U$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists an isomorphism of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times E}$ -modules:*

$$\theta_x : p_2^! t_x^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_x^! M$$

*Proof.* Since  $p_2$  and  $\mu$  are smooth,  $p_2^! t_x^* M = p_2^* t_x^* M[r]$  and  $\mu_x^! M = \mu^* M[r]$ . Notice that  $\mu_x^! M = (1_G \times t_x)^! \mu^! M = (1_G \times t_x)^* \mu^! M$  and  $p_2^! t_x^* M = (\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^* M)[r] = (1_G \times t_x)^* p_2^! M$ . Now, the  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $1_G \times t_x : G \times E \rightarrow G \times E$  yields the isomorphism, in  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{G \times E}, G)$ ,

$$\theta_x = (1_G \times t_x)^*(\theta) : (1_G \times t_x)^* p_2^! M = p_2^! t_x^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} (1_G \times t_x)^* \mu^! M = \mu_x^! M$$

as desired.  $\square$

*Remark.* The isomorphism  $\theta_x$  yields the isomorphism

$$\theta_x : \mathcal{H}^{-r}(p_2^! t_x^* M) = \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_x^* M = \mathcal{H}^{-r}(\mu_x^! M),$$

which is given by  $\theta_x(a \boxtimes t_{-x}.v) = a S v_{(1)} \otimes_{\mu_x^\#} v_{(2)}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{O}(G)$  and  $v \in M$ .

Since  $\psi$  is smooth and  $G$ -equivariant,  $\psi^*M$  is coherent [3, VI.4.8] and  $\psi^!M = \psi^*M[r + m - n] \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{G \times U}, G)$ . Thus,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^j(\psi^!M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq -s = n - r - m, \\ \psi^*M & \text{if } j = -s = n - r - m. \end{cases}$$

The  $G$ -action on  $\psi^*M = \mathcal{O}(G \times U) \otimes_{\psi\#} M$  is given by  $g.(b \otimes_{\psi\#} v) = g.b \otimes_{\psi\#} g.v$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{O}(G \times U)$ ,  $v \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *There exists an isomorphism of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules*

$$\psi^!M \cong (\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \beta^!t_x^*M)[r]$$

and  $\beta^!t_x^!M = (\beta^*t_x^*M)[m - n]$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\beta^!t_x^!M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq \dim \mathbf{O}, \\ \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\beta\#} t_x^*M & \text{if } j = \dim \mathbf{O}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Notice first that, since  $t_x$  is an isomorphism, we can identify  $t_x^!M$  with  $t_x^*M$ . From  $\psi = \mu_x \circ (1_G \times \beta)$  we deduce that  $\psi^!M = (1_G \times \beta)^! \mu_x^!M$ . Therefore, using the  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $1_G \times \beta$ , we obtain

$$(1_G \times \beta)^!(\theta_x) : (1_G \times \beta)^! p_2^! t_x^*M \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi^!M.$$

Then,  $(1_G \times \beta)^! p_2^! t_x^*M = (1_G \times \beta)^!(\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^*M)[r] = (\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \beta^!t_x^*M)[r]$  yields  $\psi^!M \cong (\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \beta^!t_x^*M)[r]$ . From this isomorphism one deduces that  $\mathcal{H}^{j-r}(\psi^!M) \cong \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^j(\beta^!t_x^*M)$ . Then, by (2.2),  $\mathcal{H}^j(\beta^!t_x^*M) = 0$  unless  $j = n - m$ , and

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-m}(\beta^!t_x^*M) = \mathcal{H}^{n-m}((\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\beta\#}^L t_x^*M)[m - n]) = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\beta\#} t_x^*M.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

In order to simplify the notation we set

$$t_x^*M|_U = \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\beta^!t_x^!M) = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\beta\#} t_x^*M.$$

Recall that the natural inclusion  $\beta_x : X \hookrightarrow E$  is equal to  $t_x \circ \beta \circ t_{-x}$ . Therefore,  $\beta_x^!M = t_{-x}^! \beta^! t_x^! M$  has non-zero cohomology only in degree  $\dim \mathbf{O} = n - m$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\beta_x^!M) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\beta\#} M$ . We set

$$M|_X = \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\beta_x^!M) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\beta\#} M.$$

Thus we have:

$$(2.3) \quad \beta_x^!M = M|_X[m - n]$$

Notice that it follows easily from  $\beta_x \circ t_x = t_x \circ \beta$  (on  $U$ ) that

$$(2.4) \quad t_x^*(M|_X) = t_x^*M|_U.$$

Let  $S$  be a complementary subspace to  $F$ ,  $E = F \oplus S$ . Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  be a basis of  $F$  and  $\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis of  $S$ . Denote by  $\{y_j = v_j^*\}_j$  the dual basis and set  $f_j = t_x.y_j = y_j - y_j(x)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{O}(F) = S(E^*)/J, \quad \mathcal{O}(x + F) = S(E^*)/J_x$$

where  $J = (y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n)S(E^*)$  and  $J_x = t_x.J = (f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n)S(E^*)$ .

Let  $j : U \hookrightarrow F$  and  $j_x : X \hookrightarrow x + F$  be the natural inclusions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have:*

$$(1) \quad M|_X = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{j_x\#} (M/J_x M);$$

$$(2) \ t_x^* M|_U = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{j_x^\#} (t_x^* M / J t_x^* M) = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{j_x^\#} t_x^*(M / J_x M).$$

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\tilde{\beta}_x : x + F \hookrightarrow E$  be the inclusion. Thus  $\beta_x = \tilde{\beta}_x \circ j_x$ . Since  $j_x$  is an open immersion,  $\mathcal{H}^j(\beta_x^! M) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} \mathcal{H}^j(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M)$  for all  $j$ . Recall that  $\tilde{\beta}_x^! M = (\mathcal{D}_{x+F \rightarrow E} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_E}^L M)[m-n]$ . Set  $V_x = \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{C} df_i$  and  $\mathcal{C}_x^{-p} = \bigwedge^p V_x \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_E$ . By [3, VI.7.4],  $\tilde{\beta}_x^! M = (\mathcal{C}_x^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_E} M, \partial_x)[m-n]$ , with  $\mathcal{C}_x^p \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_E} M = \bigwedge^{-p} V_x \boxtimes M$  and

$$\partial_x(df_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{j_p} \boxtimes v) = \sum_{a=1}^p (-1)^{a+1} df_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{df_{j_a}} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{j_p} \boxtimes f_{j_a} v.$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-m}(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) = \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{C}_x^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_E} M, \partial_x) = M / J_x M = \mathcal{O}_{x+F} \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} M$ .

2. follows from 1. and (2.4).  $\square$

Let  $\text{Supp } M$  be the support of the  $\mathcal{D}_E$ -module  $M$ . Since  $M$  is coherent and  $G$ -equivariant,  $\text{Supp } M$  is a closed  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $E$  and we have  $\text{Supp } M = \bigcup_{v \in M} \text{Supp } \mathcal{O}_{E.v}$ . From now on we assume that

$$\text{Supp } M \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}}.$$

Recall that the local cohomology group  $H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_U$ -module isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}_U / (\mathcal{D}_U y_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_U y_m)$ . It is easily seen that  $t_{-x}^* H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U) = H_{[x]}^m(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{D}_X / (\mathcal{D}_X f_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_X f_m)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be as above. Then, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

- (1)  $M|_X \cong H_{[x]}^m(\mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus k}$ ,  $t_x^* M|_U \cong H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k}$ ;
- (2)  $\psi^! M \cong (\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k})[s]$ ;
- (3) if  $\text{Supp } M = \overline{\mathbf{O}}$ , then  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* 1. Clearly, the support of  $M|_X = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} M$  is contained in  $X \cap \text{Supp } M \subseteq X \cap \overline{\mathbf{O}}$ . But,  $X \cap \overline{\mathbf{O}} \subseteq X \cap \Omega \cap \overline{\mathbf{O}} = X \cap \mathbf{O} = \{x\}$ . Thus  $M|_X$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module whose support is contained in  $\{x\}$ ; therefore, by Kashiwara's equivalence [3, VI.7.11],  $M|_X = H_{[x]}^m(\mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus k}$  for some  $k$ . The proof is similar for  $t_x^* M|_U$ .

2. follows from 1. and Lemma 2.3.

3. The hypothesis implies that there exists  $v \in M$  such that  $\mathbf{O} \cap \text{Supp } \mathcal{O}_{E.v} \neq \emptyset$ . Then, since  $\psi$  is flat and  $\Omega \supset \mathbf{O}$ , we have  $1 \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} v \in \psi^* M \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus  $\psi^* M \neq 0$ , i.e.  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathbf{m}_x = (f_1, \dots, f_n)\mathcal{O}(E)$  and  $\mathbf{n}_x = (f_1, \dots, f_m)\mathcal{O}(F)$  the maximal ideals associated to  $x \in E$  and  $x \in (x+F)$  (respectively). Set  $\mathbb{C}_x = \mathcal{O}(E)/\mathbf{m}_x\mathcal{O}(E)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\iota : \{x\} \hookrightarrow E$  be the inclusion. Set*

$$\omega^{-1} = df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_m \text{ and } T = \{u \in M / J_x M : \mathbf{n}_x u = 0\}.$$

*Then,*

- (1)  $T \cong \{v \in H_{[x]}^m(\mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus k} : \mathbf{n}_x v = 0\}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $k$ ;
- (2)  $\iota^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree  $\dim \mathbf{O}$  and

$$\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\iota^! M) = \text{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}(E)}(\mathbb{C}_x, M) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_x} T.$$

*Proof.* Recall [3, VI.4.2] that  $\mathcal{H}^j(\iota^! M) = \text{Tor}_{-j+n}^{\mathcal{O}(E)}(\mathbb{C}_x, M)$ . Let  $\gamma : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusion. Then,  $\iota = \beta_x \circ \gamma$  and  $\iota^! M = \gamma^! \beta_x^! M$ . Recall from (2.3) that  $\beta_x^! M = M|_X[m-n]$ . By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we know that  $M|_X =$

$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} (M/J_x M) \cong H_{[x]}^m(\mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus k}$  is supported on  $\{x\}$ . Thus,  $T|_X = \{u \in M|_X : \mathbf{n}_x u = 0\}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $k$ . Furthermore, by [3, VI.7.4],

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\gamma^! M|_X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0, \\ \omega_{\{x\}/X}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_x} T|_X & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\omega_{\{x\}/X}^{-1} = df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_m$ , we obtain that  $i^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree  $n - m$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{n-m}(i^! M) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_x} T|_X$ . To finish the proof it suffices to apply the following standard result to the module  $N = M/J_x M$ : Let  $N$  be any  $\mathcal{O}_{x+F}$ -module; then, if  $N' = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} N$ , one has

$$\{u \in N : \mathbf{n}_x u = 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{u' \in N' : \mathbf{n}_x u' = 0\}$$

through the natural map  $j_x^\# : N \rightarrow N'$ ,  $j_x^\#(u) = 1_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} u$ .  $\square$

One can factorize the inclusion  $i : \{x\} \hookrightarrow E$  as follows:  $i : \{x\} \xrightarrow{i_1} \mathbf{O} \xrightarrow{i_2} E$ . We now compute  $i_2^! M$ , the ‘‘restriction to  $\mathbf{O}$ ’’.

**Proposition 2.7.**  *$i_2^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree 0 and, as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module,  $\mathcal{H}^0(i_2^! M) = \text{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}^E}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Notice first the following commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y = G \times U & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E \\ j_2 \uparrow & & \uparrow i_2 \\ G \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbf{O} \end{array}$$

where  $\pi(g, 0) = g.x$ .

Recall that, by Proposition 2.5,  $\psi^! M \cong \psi^* M[s]$  with  $\psi^* M = \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k}$ . Thus  $\psi^* M = \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\psi^! M)$  is supported on  $G \times \{0\}$ . Since  $j_2 : G \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow Y$  is a closed embedding, [3, VI.7.4] gives that  $j_2^! \psi^* M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree 0; equivalently,  $j_2^! \psi^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree  $-s$ .

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\pi^! i_2^! M) = \mathcal{H}^j((\mathcal{D}_{G \times \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}}^L i_2^! M)[s]) = \mathcal{H}^{j+s}(\mathcal{D}_{G \times \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}}^L i_2^! M).$$

Since  $\pi$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}} = \pi^* \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$ , it follows that, as an  $\mathcal{O}_{G \times \{0\}}$ -module,

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\pi^! i_2^! M) = \mathcal{O}_{G \times \{0\}} \otimes_{\pi^\#} \mathcal{H}^{j+s}(i_2^! M).$$

Now, since  $\pi$  is faithfully flat and  $\pi^! i_2^! M = j_2^! \psi^! M$ , the previous paragraph implies that  $i_2^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree 0. By definition,  $i_2^! M = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_E}^L M)[-m]$ . Hence, see [3, VI.4.2],  $\mathcal{H}^0(i_2^! M) = \text{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}^E}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}, M)$ .  $\square$

We set:

$$(2.5) \quad M|_{\mathbf{O}} = \mathcal{H}^0(i_2^! M)$$

Denote by  $G^x$  the stabilizer of  $x$  in  $G$  and let  $G_0^x$  be its connected component. Define the component group of  $\mathbf{O}$  by

$$A(\mathbf{O}) = G^x / G_0^x.$$

Let  $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{O}$ ,  $g \mapsto g.x$ , be the natural morphism and  $L$  be a rational representation of  $G^x$ . Define an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}$  by setting, for any open subset  $W \subseteq \mathbf{O}$ ,

$$\Gamma(W, \mathcal{L}) = \{f : \pi^{-1}(W) \rightarrow L : f(gh) = h^{-1}.f(g) \text{ for all } g \in \pi^{-1}(W), h \in G^x\}.$$



Then  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}, G)$  [7, Theorem 4.8.1]. When  $L$  is a representation of the (finite) group  $A(\mathbf{O})$ , i.e. when  $G_0^x$  acts trivially on  $L$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}, G)$  and, conversely, any  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module is of this form, see [7, Proposition 4.11.1] and [8, §4]. An object of  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}, G)$  will be called a *connection* on  $\mathbf{O}$ . The representation of  $A(\mathbf{O})$  associated to a connection  $\mathcal{L}$  is the “geometric fibre at the point  $x$ ”,  $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathbb{C}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{L}_x$ , where the  $A(\mathbf{O})$ -action is coming from the natural action of  $G^x$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *The  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}$  is a connection and its geometric fibre at the point  $x$  is*

$$M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\iota_2 : \mathbf{O} \hookrightarrow E$  is  $G$ -equivariant, the results of §1 ensure that  $\iota_2^! M = M_{|\mathbf{O}}$  (see Proposition 2.7) is in  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}, G)$ . Therefore, by the remarks above,  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}$  is a connection. In particular,  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}$  is flat as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module and it follows that

$$\iota^! M = \iota_1^! \iota_2^! M = \iota_1^! M_{|\mathbf{O}} = (\mathcal{D}_{\{x\} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}}^L M_{|\mathbf{O}})[m - n]$$

has cohomology concentrated in degree  $n - m$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{n-m}(\iota^! M) = \mathbb{C}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}} M_{|\mathbf{O}} = M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x)$ . The proposition then follows from Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

*Remark.* We will see (in a particular case) in the next section how to compute the  $A(\mathbf{O})$ -action on  $\omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$ .

Recall that  $t_x^* M_{|U} = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\beta^\#} t_x^* M$ ,  $M_{|X} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} M$ . Thus we have maps  $\beta^\# : t_x^* M \rightarrow t_x^* M_{|U}$ ,  $\beta^\#(t) = 1_U \otimes_{\beta^\#} t$ , and  $\beta_x^\# : M \rightarrow M_{|X}$ ,  $\beta_x^\#(v) = 1_X \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} v$ . We set:

$$(2.6) \quad \rho(v) = \beta^\#(t_{-x} \cdot v) = 1_U \otimes_{\beta^\#} t_{-x} \cdot v$$

Recall also from Lemma 2.4 that  $t_x^* M_{|U} = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{j^\#} (t_x^* M / J t_x^* M)$  and  $M_{|X} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{j_x} (M / J_x M)$ . Let  $\varpi : t_x^* M \twoheadrightarrow t_x^* M / J t_x^* M$  and  $\varpi_x M \twoheadrightarrow M / J_x M$  be the canonical projections. It is easy to see that, since  $t_x^* M / J t_x^* M = t_x^*(M / J M)$ , one has  $t_{-x} \cdot (\varpi_x(v)) = \varpi(t_{-x} \cdot v)$ . One obtains from the definitions that, for all  $v \in M$ ,

$$1_X \otimes_{j_x} \varpi_x(v) = 1_X \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} v, \quad \rho(v) = 1_U \otimes_{\beta^\#} t_{-x} \cdot v = 1_U \otimes_{j^\#} \varpi(t_{-x} \cdot v).$$

It is also easily seen that the map  $t_x^\# : M_{|X} \rightarrow t_x^*(M_X) = t_x^* M_{|U}$  is a bijection given by

$$t_x^\#(a \otimes_{j_x} \varpi_x(v)) = t_{-x} \cdot a \otimes_{j^\#} \varpi(t_{-x} \cdot v) = (t_{-x} \cdot a) \rho(v)$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $v \in M$ .

Recall from Lemma 2.2, and the remark thereafter, that  $\theta_x$  yields an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes \mu_x^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_x^* M$ ,  $\theta_x(a \boxtimes t_{-x} \cdot v) = a S v_{(1)} \otimes_{\mu_x^\#} v_{(2)}$ . It follows that  $\bar{\theta}_x = (1_G \times \beta)^*(\theta_x)$  is the isomorphism:

$$\bar{\theta}_x : \mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^* M_{|U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi^* M, \quad \bar{\theta}_x(a \boxtimes \rho(v)) = a S v_{(1)} \otimes_{\psi^\#} v_{(2)}.$$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\alpha : \{e\} \times U \hookrightarrow Y$  be the inclusion and  $\varphi : \{e\} \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  be the restriction of  $\psi$ . Then,  $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\alpha^! \psi^! M) = \alpha^* \psi^* M = \varphi^* M_{|X}$  and  $\bar{\theta}_x$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\alpha^*(\bar{\theta}_x) : \mathbb{C} \boxtimes t_x^* M_{|U} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* M_{|X}, \quad 1 \boxtimes (t_{-x} \cdot a) \rho(v) \mapsto \varphi^\#(a \otimes_{j_x} \varpi_x(v)),$$

$a \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ ,  $v \in M$ .

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\alpha^! \psi^! M = (\mathcal{D}_{\{e\} \times U \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y}^L \psi^! M)[-r] = (\mathcal{D}_{\{e\} \times U \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y}^L \psi^* M)[m-n].$$

It follows that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-m}(\alpha^! \psi^! M) = \alpha^* \psi^* M$ . On the other hand,  $\psi \circ \alpha = \beta_x \circ \varphi$  yields  $\alpha^* \psi^* M = \varphi^* \beta_x^* M = \varphi^* M|_X$ .

Let  $v \in M$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(\bar{\theta}_x)(1 \boxtimes \rho(v)) &= 1_{\{e\} \times U} \otimes_{\alpha^\#} S v_{(1)} \otimes_{\psi^\#} v_{(2)} \\ &= (S v_{(1)})(e) \otimes_{(\psi \circ \alpha)^\#} v_{(2)} \\ &= 1 \otimes_{(\psi \circ \alpha)^\#} v \\ &= \varphi^\#(1_X \otimes_{\beta_x^\#} v) \\ &= \varphi^\#(1_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} \varpi_x(v)), \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

From now on, in order to simplify the notation, we will identify the  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules  $\psi^* M$  and  $\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^* M|_U$  through the isomorphism  $\bar{\theta}_x$ . By Lemma 2.9, the  $\mathcal{D}_{\{e\} \times U}$ -module  $\varphi^* M|_X$  then identifies with  $\mathbb{C} \boxtimes t_x^* M|_U$  via  $\varphi^\#$ ; this implies that we will identify the elements  $1 \boxtimes \rho(v)$  and  $\varphi^\#(1_X \otimes_{j_x^\#} \varpi_x(v))$ .

Let  $o : \{0\} \hookrightarrow U$  be the inclusion and recall that  $\gamma : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ . We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E \\ \alpha \uparrow & & \uparrow \beta_x \\ \{e\} \times U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \varepsilon \times o \uparrow & & \uparrow \gamma \\ \{e\} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \{x\} \end{array}$$

It follows that  $\bar{\varphi}$  gives an isomorphism:

$$i^! M = \gamma^! \beta_x^! M \xrightarrow{\sim} (\varepsilon \times o)^! \alpha^! \psi^! M$$

Since  $\mathbb{C} \boxtimes t_x^* M|_U$  is supported on  $\{e\} \times \{0\}$  (see Proposition 2.5),  $(\varepsilon \times o)^! \alpha^! \psi^! M$  has cohomology concentrated in degree  $\dim \mathbf{O}$  and  $\bar{\varphi}^\#$  yields the isomorphism

$$\bar{\varphi}^\# : \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(i^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^0(o^! t_x^* M|_U).$$

Let  $\mathbf{n}_0 = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \mathcal{O}(F)$  be the defining ideal of the point  $0 \in F$ . Since  $f_i = y_i - y_i(x)$ , we have

$$\omega_{\{0\}/U}^{-1} = dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_m = \omega^{-1} = df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_m.$$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Set  $T_0 = \{u \in t_x^* M / J t_x^* M : \mathbf{n}_0 u = 0\}$ . Then,*

- (1)  $T_0 \cong \{u \in H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k} : \mathbf{n}_0 u = 0\}$  is a vector space of dimension  $k$  and  $\mathcal{H}^0(o^! t_x^* M|_U) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_0$ ;
- (2) the isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}^\# : \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(i^! M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^0(o^! t_x^* M|_U)$  coincides with  $\bar{\varphi}^\# : \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \boxtimes (\omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_0)$ ,  $\omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi_x(v) \mapsto 1 \boxtimes (\omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi(t_{-x} \cdot v))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{j\#} (t_x^*M/Jt_x^*M) \cong H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k}$  (see Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5), the proof of 1. is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.6. Observe in particular that

$$\{u \in t_x^*M|_U : \mathfrak{n}_0 u = 0\} \equiv \{u \in t_x^*M/Jt_x^*M : \mathfrak{n}_0 u = 0\}.$$

The assertion 2. then follows from 1. and the identification of  $\varphi^\#(1_X \otimes_{j\#} \varpi_x(v))$  with  $1 \boxtimes \rho(v) = 1 \boxtimes (1_U \otimes_{j\#} \varpi(t_{-x}.v))$   $\square$

*Remark.* We notice for further use the following consequence of Theorem 2.10. Let  $v \in M^G$ . Then,  $\lambda_M(v) = 1_G \boxtimes v$  and therefore

$$\psi^\#(v) = 1_Y \otimes_{\psi\#} v = \bar{\theta}_x(1_G \boxtimes \rho(v)).$$

Thus we may identify  $\psi^\#(v)$  with  $1_G \boxtimes \rho(v)$ . Assume moreover that  $\mathfrak{n}_0 \rho(v) = 0$ , then  $\rho(v) = 1_U \otimes_{j\#} \varpi(t_{-x}.v) \in \mathcal{H}^0(o^!t_x^*M|_U)$  can be identified with  $\varpi(t_{-x}.v) \in T_0$ .

### 3. THE CASE OF THE ADJOINT REPRESENTATION

In this section we consider the case where  $G$  is the adjoint group of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of dimension  $n$ . We are going to apply the results of §2 to the case of the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $E = \mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, we will assume that the element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent, hence  $\mathbf{O} = G.x$  is a nilpotent orbit. We fix a coherent equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}, G)$  such that  $\text{Supp } M = \overline{\mathbf{O}}$ .

Suppose that  $x = 0$ . Then, by Kashiwara's equivalence [3, VI.7.11] one has  $M \cong H_{\{0\}}^n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\oplus k}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . In this case  $A(\mathbf{O}) = \{e\}$  and the connection  $M|_{\mathbf{O}}$  is the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^k$ .

Therefore, we now will suppose that  $x \neq 0$ . Then, we can find an  $S$ -triplet  $\{x, y, z\}$  containing  $x$ , i.e.  $[x, y] = z$ ,  $[z, x] = 2x$ ,  $[z, y] = -2y$  and  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C}x + \mathbb{C}y + \mathbb{C}z \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We take  $F = \mathfrak{g}^y = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} : [\xi, y] = 0\}$ . Thus,

$$n = r = \dim \mathfrak{g}, \quad m = s = \dim \mathfrak{g}^y.$$

In this situation it is well known [13, III.5.1, III.7.4] that  $x + F = x + \mathfrak{g}^y$  is a transverse slice to  $\mathbf{O}$  at the point  $x$ . Thus the condition  $(\dagger)$  of §2 is satisfied. We adopt the notation of the previous section; in particular, we have a smooth morphism  $\psi : Y = G \times U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  as in Proposition 2.1. We can summarize the results about the equivariant  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module  $\psi^*M$  in the following theorem, see Proposition 2.5, Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.8. Recall that we have the natural embedding  $\iota : \{x\} \xrightarrow{i_1} \mathbf{O} \xrightarrow{i_2} \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** (1) *The  $\mathcal{D}_{G \times U}$ -module  $\psi^*M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)^{\oplus k}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .*

(2) *The  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module  $M|_{\mathbf{O}} = \mathcal{H}^0(i_2^!M)$  is the connection defined by the  $k$ -dimensional representation  $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(i_1^!M) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$  of the finite group  $A(\mathbf{O})$ , where  $\omega^{-1} = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_m$  and  $T = \{\varpi_x(v) \in M/J_x M : \mathfrak{n}_x \varpi_x(v) = 0\}$ .*

We now want to be more explicit on the action of  $A(\mathbf{O})$  on  $M|_{\mathbf{O}}(x) = \omega^{-1} \otimes T$ . We first recall the following ‘‘Levi decomposition’’ of the stabilizer  $G^x$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** ([1, Proposition 2.4]) *Let  $G^\phi = \{g \in G : g.a = a \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{s}\}$  be the centralizer of the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s}$  and denote by  $G_0^\phi$  its identity component. Then,  $G^\phi$  is reductive and there exists a semidirect product decomposition  $G^x = U^x.G^\phi$ ,*

where  $U^x$  is a normal unipotent subgroup. Furthermore, the map  $G^\phi \hookrightarrow G^x$  induces the identification  $A(\mathbf{O}) = G^\phi/G_0^\phi$ .

Recall that we have chosen a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^y \oplus S$ . Since  $F = \mathfrak{g}^y$  is  $G^\phi$ -stable and  $G^\phi$  is reductive, we may choose  $S$  to be  $G^\phi$ -stable (e.g.  $S = [\mathfrak{g}, x] = T_x(G.x)$ ). Hence, with the notation of §2, the subspaces

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}y_i, \quad \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{C}y_i, \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}f_i, \quad \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{C}f_i$$

are  $G^\phi$ -stable. Observe that  $\mathbb{C}\omega^{-1} = \mathbb{C}dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_m$  carries a representation of  $G^\phi$ . Furthermore,  $G^\phi$  acts naturally on  $M/J_x M$  and  $T$ . We will need the following well known result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $g \in G^\phi$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^\phi = \text{Lie}(G^\phi)$ . Then,*

- (1)  $\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^y}(g) = \det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y}(g) = 1$ ;
- (2)  $g.\omega^{-1} = \omega^{-1}$ ;
- (3)  $\text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^y}(\xi) = \text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y}(\xi) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple,  $\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . Recall that the Killing form  $B$  induces a symplectic form  $B_y$  on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y$  by the formula  $B_y(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}) = B(y, [\xi, \eta])$ . It is easily seen that  $B_y$  is  $G^y$ -invariant. Hence, if  $g \in G^\phi$ ,  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y}(g)$  belongs to the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y, B_y)$ . This implies  $\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y}(g) = 1$ . Now, the  $G^\phi$ -stable decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^y \oplus S$  with  $S \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^y$  (as a  $G^\phi$ -module) yields  $\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^y}(g) = 1$ . This proves 1., and 2. follows from  $g.\omega^{-1} = \det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^y}(g^{-1})\omega^{-1}$ . The proof of 3. is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The representation of  $A(\mathbf{O})$  on the fibre  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$  is induced by the natural  $G^\phi$ -action on  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\iota = \tilde{\beta}_x \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma} : \{x\} \hookrightarrow x+F$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_x : x+F \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . The maps  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_x$  being  $G^\phi$ -equivariant, we have a natural  $G^\phi$ -action on  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x) = \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\iota^! M)$  which yields the representation of the group  $A(\mathbf{O})$  that we want to compute.

Set  $V_x = \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{C}df_i = \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{C}dy_i$ ; then  $V_x$  is a  $G^\phi$ -stable subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . We have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.4 that (setting  $\partial_{II} = \partial_x$ ),

$$\tilde{\beta}_x^! M = (\mathcal{C}_{II}^\bullet = \mathcal{C}_x^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}} M, \partial_{II})[m-n].$$

Let  $G^\phi$  act diagonally on  $\mathcal{C}_{II}^p = \mathcal{C}_x^{p+m-n} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}} M = \bigwedge^{-p+\dim \mathbf{O}} V_x \boxtimes M$ . Then,  $\partial_{II}$  is  $G^\phi$ -equivariant and the  $G^\phi$ -action on the cohomology group  $\mathcal{H}^j(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{x+F}, G^\phi)$  is induced by the diagonal action of  $G^\phi$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{II}^\bullet$  (see §1). Notice, in particular, that

$$\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) = \text{Tor}_0^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{O}_{x+F}, M) = M/J_x M$$

is endowed with the natural action of  $G^\phi$ . By (2.3),  $\mathcal{H}^j(\beta_x^! M) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x+F}} \mathcal{H}^j(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) = 0$  when  $j \neq \dim \mathbf{O}$ . Thus,

$$\text{Supp } \mathcal{H}^j(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) \subseteq (x+F) \setminus X \subset (x+F) \setminus \{x\} \quad \text{if } j \neq \dim \mathbf{O}.$$

Now,

$$\iota^! M = \tilde{\gamma}^! \tilde{\beta}_x^! M = (\mathcal{D}_{\{x\} \rightarrow x+F} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{x+F}}^L \tilde{\beta}_x^! M)[-m]$$

can be computed as follows. Notice that  $\mathfrak{n}_x/\mathfrak{n}_x^2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{C}df_j$  and consider the complex  $(\mathcal{C}_I^\bullet, \partial_I)$  where  $\mathcal{C}_I^p = \bigwedge^{-p}(\mathfrak{n}_x/\mathfrak{n}_x^2) \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_{x+F}$  and

$$\partial_I(df_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{j_p} \boxtimes D) = \sum_{s=1}^p (-1)^{s+1} df_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{df_{j_s}} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{j_p} \boxtimes f_{j_s} D.$$

Observe that  $G^\phi$  acts diagonally on  $\mathcal{C}_I^p$  and that  $\partial_I$  is  $G^\phi$ -equivariant. Let  $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet, \partial_{\text{tot}})$  be the total complex associated to the double complex  $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = \mathcal{C}_I^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{x+F}} \mathcal{C}_II^\bullet$ . Then,

$$i^!M = \tilde{\gamma}^! \tilde{\beta}_x^! M = (\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet, \partial_{\text{tot}})[-m]$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{H}^j(i^!M) = \mathcal{H}^{j-m}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet)$ . This group is computed by the spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathcal{H}_I^p(\mathcal{H}_{II}^q(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)) \implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet)$$

But,  $E_2^{pq} = \text{Tor}_{-p}^{\mathcal{O}_{x+F}}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{C}_{II}))$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{x+F}$ -module, and we have noticed that the support of  $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{C}_{II}) = \mathcal{H}^q(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M)$  is contained in  $(x+F) \setminus \{x\}$  when  $q \neq \dim \mathbf{O}$ . Therefore  $E_2^{pq} = 0$  for all  $q \neq \dim \mathbf{O}$  and  $E_2^{p \dim \mathbf{O}} = \text{Tor}_{-p}^{\mathcal{O}_{x+F}}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M))$ . Hence, the spectral sequence  $E_2^{pq}$  collapses to  $E_2^{p \dim \mathbf{O}} = \mathcal{H}^{p+\dim \mathbf{O}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet)$ . In particular, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(i^!M) &= \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}-m}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet) = \text{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{x+F}}(\mathbb{C}_x, \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M)) \\ &= \text{Tor}_m^{\mathcal{O}_{x+F}}(\mathbb{C}_x, M/J_x M) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T \end{aligned}$$

(as expected). Furthermore, the group  $G^\phi$  acts diagonally on the complexes  $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tot}}^\bullet$  and it follows from the previous computation that the action of  $A(\mathbf{O})$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(i^!M)$  is coming from the induced action of  $G^\phi$  on  $E_2^{-m \dim \mathbf{O}} = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$ . Then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$g.(\omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi_x(v)) = g.\omega^{-1} \otimes g.\varpi_x(v) = \omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi_x(g.v)$$

for all  $\varpi_x(v) \in T$ . Hence the result.  $\square$

*Remark.* A consequence of Theorem 3.4 is that the identity component  $G_0^\phi$  acts trivially on  $\omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$ . It is not difficult to prove this fact directly. Denote by  $\tau_\phi : \mathfrak{g}^\phi \rightarrow \text{Der } \mathcal{O}(x+F)$  the differential of the (adjoint) action of  $G^\phi$  on  $x+F$  (thus  $\tau_\phi = \tau_{x+F}$  in the notation of §1). Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^\phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(x+F) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})/J_x \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , we may write  $\tau_\phi(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \partial_j$ , where  $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Lemma 3.3 and a straightforward computation yield

$$\tau_\phi(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_j \xi_j + \text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^\phi}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_j \xi_j.$$

Notice that  $\xi_j(x) = y_j([x, \xi]) = 0$ , hence  $\xi_j \in \mathfrak{n}_x$ . Recall that  $M/J_x M = \mathcal{H}^{\dim \mathbf{O}}(\tilde{\beta}_x^! M) \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{x+F}, G^\phi)$ . Then, for all  $\varpi_x(v) \in T \subset M/J_x M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi.(\omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi_x(v)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (e^{t\xi}.\omega^{-1} \otimes e^{t\xi}.\varpi_x(v)) \\ &= \omega^{-1} \otimes \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (e^{t\xi}.\varpi_x(v)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \\ &= \omega^{-1} \otimes \tau_\phi(\xi)\varpi_x(v) \quad (\text{since } M/J_x M \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{x+F}, G^\phi)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \omega^{-1} \otimes \partial_j \xi_j \varpi_x(v) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi = \text{Lie}(G_0^\phi)$  acts trivially on  $\omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$  and the result follows.

We end these notes by the following particular case of Theorem 3.4. Recall that  $\mathfrak{n}_0 = (y_1, \dots, y_m)\mathcal{O}(F)$  and  $\rho(v) = 1_U \otimes_{\mathfrak{g}^\#} \varpi(t_{-x}.v)$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $M = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}} v \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}, G)$  with  $v \in M^G$ . Then,  $t_x^* M|_U = \mathcal{D}_U \rho(v)$  and  $\psi^* M = \mathcal{D}_Y(1_G \boxtimes \rho(v))$ . Furthermore, if  $k = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_0 \rho(v) = 0$ , then we have*

- (i)  $T = \mathbb{C}\varpi_x(v)$ ;
- (ii) the representation of  $A(\mathbf{O})$  on  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x)$  is the trivial representation and the connection  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}$  is isomorphic to the standard  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{O}}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is smooth, it is easy to see [10, Lemma 3.2] that  $\psi^*M = \mathcal{D}_Y\psi^\#(v)$ . As explained in §2 (cf. Lemma 2.9, Theorem 2.10 and Remark at the end of §2) we may identify the  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module  $\psi^*M$  with  $\mathcal{O}(G) \boxtimes t_x^*M_{|U}$ , and, since  $v \in M^G$ ,  $\psi^\#(v)$  identifies with  $1_G \boxtimes \rho(v)$ . Thus,

$$\psi^*M = \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes t_x^*M_{|U} = \mathcal{D}_{G \times U}(1_G \boxtimes \rho(v)) = \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_U\rho(v),$$

proving the first assertions of the corollary.

Now, assume that  $k = 1$  and  $\mathbf{n}_0\rho(v) = 0$ . Then,  $t_x^*M_{|U} \cong H_{[0]}^m(\mathcal{O}_U)$  and  $\rho(v)$  identifies with  $\varpi(t_{-x}.v)$  inside  $T_0 = \{u \in t_x^*M_{|U} : \mathbf{n}_0u = 0\}$  (loc. cit.). Since  $\dim T_0 = 1$  and  $\rho(v) \neq 0$ , we obtain  $T_0 = \mathbb{C}\rho(v)$ . It follows then from Theorem 2.10 that  $\omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T = \mathbb{C}(\omega^{-1} \otimes \varpi_x(v))$ . By Theorem 3.4, since  $v \in M^G$ , the group  $G^\phi$  acts trivially on  $M_{|\mathbf{O}}(x) = \omega^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T$ . The isomorphism  $M_{|\mathbf{O}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{O}}$  then follows from Proposition 2.8.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, Unipotent representations of complex semisimple groups, *Annals of Mathematics*, **121** (1985), 41-110.
- [2] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, Localization for derived categories of  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1995), 819-856.
- [3] A. Borel et al., *Algebraic D-modules*, Academic Press, Boston, 1987.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique, Chapitres O et IV, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **20** (1964) et **32** (1967).
- [5] R. Hotta, *Introduction to D-modules*, (Lectures at the Inst. Math. Sci., Madras), Math. Institute, Tôhoku University, Sendai, 1986.
- [6] R. Joshua, Riemann Roch for equivariant  $\mathfrak{D}$ -modules-I, *Math. Z.*, **206** (1991), 131-144.
- [7] M. Kashiwara, Representation theory and  $D$ -modules on flag varieties, in "Orbites unipotentes et représentations, III", *Astérisque*, 173-174 (1989), 55-109.
- [8] M. Kashiwara and W. Schmid, Quasi-Equivariant  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, Equivariant Derived Category, and Representations of Reductive Lie Groups, in *Lie theory and geometry*, 457-488, *Progr. Math.*, 123, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [9] T. Levasseur and J. T. Stafford, Semi-simplicity of invariant holonomic systems on a reductive Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] T. Levasseur and J. T. Stafford, Invariant differential operators on the tangent space of some symmetric spaces, in preparation, (1996).
- [11] D. Mumford, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg/New-York, 1973.
- [12] M. Saito, A localization theorem for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **43** (1991), 213-234.
- [13] P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and Simple Algebraic Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 815, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1980.
- [14] M. Van den Bergh, Some rings of differential operators for  $\mathrm{Sl}_2$ -invariants are simple, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, **107** (1996), 309-335.
- [15] M. Van den Bergh, Some generalities on  $G$ -equivariant quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules, Preprint Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, (1994).

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, 86022 POITIERS, FRANCE.  
E-mail address: levasseu@mathlabo.univ-poitiers.fr