CORRIGENDUM TO: SEMI-SIMPLICITY OF INVARIANT HOLONOMIC SYSTEMS ON A REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRA

AMER. J. MATH. **119** *(1997), 1095-1117*

T. LEVASSEUR AND J. T. STAFFORD

The proof of Proposition 6.5 of [2] is inadequate (the application of the Chinese Remainder Theorem is incorrect) and so the aim of this corrigendum is to provide a correct proof of that result. All the results in [2] are correct as stated.

Recall the notation of [2]: $A = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{h})$, $d = \prod_{\alpha>0} \alpha^2$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mathbf{m} = \operatorname{Ker} \lambda \subset S(\mathfrak{h})$. Set $P = A/A\mathbf{m}$. Then the A-module P identifies with $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})e^{\lambda}$ endowed with the natural action of A.

Definition 1.1. Let M be an A-module. We say that M has a C-filtration if there exists a finite chain of submodules $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_t = M$ satisfying

$$M_i/M_{i-1} \cong A \otimes_{C_i} (C_i/I_i) \quad \text{for each } i, \tag{1.1}$$

where $C_i = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_\ell]$ is a commutative polynomial ring such that $\mathcal{D}(C_i) = A$, and I_i is an ideal of C_i of finite codimension.

Remarks 1.2. (1) The A-module P has a C-filtration: $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 = P$.

(2) Suppose that M has a C-filtration and keep the notation of Definition 1.1. Then, M is automatically holonomic. Moreover, classical results (for example, Kashiwara's equivalence) imply that A/AI_i is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of simple modules of the form $A/A\mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{y}$ is maximal ideal of C_i. In particular, every subquotient of M has a C-filtration.

(3) Let $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of A-modules. Then, M' and M'' have a C-filtration if and only if M has one.

Lemma 1.3. *Let D be left noetherian ring and B be a (D, A)-bimodule finitely generated as left D-module. Let M be an A-module having a C-filtration. Then $\operatorname{Tor}_j^A(B, M) = 0$ for all $j \geqslant 1$.*

Proof. Keep the notation of Definition 1.1. By [2, Lemma 6.4], B is a flat right C_i-module and so $\operatorname{Tor}_j^A(B, M_i/M_{i-1}) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_j^{C_i}(B, C_i/I_i) = 0$, by[3, Theorem 11.53]. The lemma follows easily by induction on t from the short exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_j^A(B, M_1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_j^A(B, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_j(B, M/M_1).$$

$\square$

Let M be an A-module and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})$. We denote by $M_{(f)}$ the localization $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})} M$ with respect to $\{f^i\}$. Recall that if M is holonomic, then $M_{(f)}$ is also a holonomic A-module [1, Theorem 3.2.11]. In particular, $M_{(f)}$ has finite

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E47, 14L30, 16S32, 17B20.

Key words and phrases. Invariant differential operators, Weyl group representations, holonomic systems, invariant eigendistributions, semisimple Lie algebras.

The research of the second author was supported in part by an NSF grant.

length. This applies to $P=\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})e^{\lambda}$ and $P_{(f)}=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{h})f^{-i}e^{\lambda}$ (with the natural action of A by differential operators). Since $\{f^{-i}e^{\lambda}\}_i$ is a system of generators of $P_{(f)}$ this implies that $P_{(f)}=Af^{-m}e^{\lambda}$ for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Notice that in the notation of [2], $P_{(d)}=P_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Lemma 1.4. *The A-module $P_{\mathcal{C}}/P$ has a C-filtration.*

Proof. Set $P_0=P$. Let $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_k$ be distinct positive roots for some $k\geqslant 1$. Observe that, since $d=\prod_{\alpha>0}\alpha^2$, we have $P_{(\beta_1\cdots\beta_k)^2}=P_{(\beta_1\cdots\beta_k)}\subset P_{\mathcal{C}}$. Define an A-submodule of $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ by

$$P_k=\textstyle\sum_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_k}P_{(\beta_1\cdots\beta_k)}$$

where the sum is taken over all possible sets of k distinct, positive roots. Notice that we have

$$P_0\subset\cdots\subset P_k\subset\cdots\subset P_\nu=P_{\mathcal{C}},$$

where ν is the number of positive roots.

Set $N_\gamma=(P_{(\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_k)}+P_{k-1})/P_{k-1}$, where the γ_i are some distinct positive roots. Clearly, $P_k/P_{k-1}=\sum N_\gamma$ where the sum is over all possible γ. Thus, by Remarks 1.2, in order to prove that $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ has a C-filtration it suffices to prove this for each P_k/P_{k-1} and hence for each N_γ. So, consider $N=N_\gamma$. As noticed above, $P_{(\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_k)}=A\gamma_1^{-2i}\cdots\gamma_k^{-2i}e^{\lambda}$ for some $i\in\mathbb{N}$; hence N is generated by the class $e=[\gamma_1^{-2i}\cdots\gamma_k^{-2i}e^{\lambda}]$. The significance behind the definition of the P_ℓ is that $\gamma_j^{2i}e=0$ for $1\le j\le k$. Order the γ_j's so that $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_h$ are linearly independent while $\gamma_j\in\sum_{i=1}^{h}\mathbb{C}\gamma_j$ for $j\geqslant h+1$. Pick $x_{h+1},\dots,x_\ell\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\{y_1=\gamma_1,\dots,y_h=\gamma_h,x_{h+1},\dots,x_\ell\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then, for $j\geqslant h+1$, one has $y_j=\partial_{x_j}-\alpha_j\in\mathbf{m}$ for some $\alpha_j\in\mathbb{C}$. Then, $[y_j,\gamma_i]=0$, for all $j\ge h+1$ and $i\le k$ and so:

$$y_1^{2i}.e=y_2^{2i}.e=\cdots=y_h^{2i}.e=y_{h+1}.e=\cdots=y_\ell.e=0.$$

Notice that $C_i=\mathbb{C}[y_1,\dots,y_\ell]$ is a polynomial ring with $\mathcal{D}(C_i)=A$. By the last displayed equation, $A.e$ is a factor of the module $A\otimes_{C_i}(C_i/I_i)$, where $I_i=(y_1^{2i},\dots,y_h^{2i},y_{h+1},\dots,y_\ell)$. Hence the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. ([2, Proposition 6.5].) *Let B be the $(\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}),A)$-bimodule defined in* [2, p.1109]. *Let $\mathbf{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ and write $P=A/A\mathbf{m}$. Then,* $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(B,P_{\mathcal{C}}/P)=0$.

Proof. By its construction, B is a finitely generated left $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Thus, the corollary follows from Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.3 with $M=P_{\mathcal{C}}/P$. $\square$

References

[1] J.-E. Björk, *Rings of Differential Operators*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

[2] T. Levasseur and J. T. Stafford, Semi-simplicity of invariant holonomic systems on a reductive Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.*, **119** (1997), 1095-1117.

[3] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Poitiers, 86022 Poitiers, France.
E-mail address: levasseu@mathlabo.univ-poitiers.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.
E-mail address: jts@math.lsa.umich.edu