

Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Infinite Time Horizon

Holger Metzler

PhD advisor: Prof. G. Tessitore

Università di Milano-Bicocca

Spring School “Stochastic Control in Finance”
Roscoff, March 2010

Outline

- 1 General setup and standard results
 - The multi-dimensional nonlinear case
 - The one-dimensional nonlinear case
- 2 Multi-dimensional linear case

Outline

- 1 General setup and standard results
 - The multi-dimensional nonlinear case
 - The one-dimensional nonlinear case
- 2 Multi-dimensional linear case

General setup

Throughout this talk, we are given

- a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, carrying a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$,
- the filtration $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ generated by W ,
- the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) , which is $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ augmented by all P -null sets.
 $\implies (\mathcal{F}_t)$ satisfies the usual conditions

Adapted processes are always assumed to be (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted.

General setup

Throughout this talk, we are given

- a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, carrying a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$,
- the filtration $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ generated by W ,
- the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) , which is $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ augmented by all P -null sets.
 $\implies (\mathcal{F}_t)$ satisfies the usual conditions

Adapted processes are always assumed to be (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{2,\varrho}(E)$ the Hilbert space of processes X with:

- X is progressively measurable, with values in the Euclidean space E ,
- $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\varrho s} \|X_s\|_E^2 ds \right] < \infty$.

Consider the BSDE with **infinite time horizon**

$$-dY_t = \psi(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

- $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that $\psi(\cdot, y, z)$ is a progressively measurable process.
- A **solution** is a couple of progressively measurable processes (Y, Z) with values in $\mathbb{R}^n \times L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that, **for all $t \leq T$ with $t, T \geq 0$,**

$$Y_t = Y_T + \int_t^T \psi(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Assumption (A1)

(A1) There exist $C \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

(1) ψ is uniformly lipschitz, i.e.

$$|\psi(t, y, z) - \psi(t, y', z')| \leq C|y - y'| + \gamma\|z - z'\|;$$

(2) ψ is monotone in y :

$$\langle y - y', \psi(t, y, z) - \psi(t, y', z) \rangle \leq -\mu|y - y'|^2;$$

(3) There exists $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\varrho > \gamma^2 - 2\mu$, and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\varrho s} |\psi(s, 0, 0)|^2 ds \right] \leq C.$$

Set $\lambda := \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \mu$. This implies $\varrho > 2\lambda$. Darling and Pardoux (1997) established the following result.

Theorem

If (A1) holds then BSDE (1) has a unique solution (Y, Z) in $\mathcal{M}^{2,2\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n \times L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n))$. The solution actually belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{2,\varrho}(\mathbb{R}^n \times L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n))$.

The major restriction is the structural condition in part (3) of (A1):

- We want to solve the equation for arbitrary **bounded** $\psi(\cdot, 0, 0)$.
- So we need $\mu > \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2$.

This condition is not natural in applications and, hence, is very unpleasant.

The one-dimensional case ($n = 1$)

- Significant improvement due to Briand and Hu (1998).
- Solution exists for all $\mu > 0$, if $\psi(\cdot, 0, 0)$ is bounded, i.e.
(3') $|\psi(t, 0, 0)| \leq K$.
- $\mu > 0$ means, ψ is dissipative with respect to y .

Theorem ($n = 1$)

Assume parts (1) and (2) of (A1) with $\mu > 0$, and (3'). Then BSDE (1) has a solution (Y, Z) which belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{2, -2\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and such that Y is a bounded process.

This solution is unique in the class of processes (Y, Z) , such that Y is continuous and bounded and Z belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Idea of the proof

- 1 Consider the equation with finite time horizon $[0, m]$. Call the unique solution (Y_m, Z_m) .
- 2 Establish the a priori bound

$$|Y_m(\theta)| \leq \frac{K}{\mu}, \text{ for all } \theta.$$

- 3 Use this a priori bound to show that $(Y_m, Z_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}^{2, -2\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The crucial part is to establish the a priori bound.

The a priori bound

- Linearise ψ to

$$\psi(s, Y_m, Z_m) = \alpha_m(s)Y_m(s) + \beta_m(s)Z_m(s) + \psi(s, 0, 0)$$

with $\alpha_m(s) \leq -\mu$ and β_m bounded.

- (Y_m, Z_m) solves the equation

$$Y_m(t) = \int_t^m [\alpha_m(s)Y_m(s) + \beta_m(s)Z_m(s) + \psi(s, 0, 0)] ds - \int_t^m Z_m(s) dW_s.$$

- Introduce

$$R_m(t) := \exp \left(\int_{\theta}^t \alpha_m(s) ds \right),$$

$$W_m(t) := W(t) - \int_0^t \beta_m(s) ds.$$

- Note that

$$R_m(s) \leq e^{-\mu(s-\theta)}$$

and

$$\int_{\theta}^{\infty} R_m(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

- Apply Itô's formula to the process $R_m Y_m$:

$$Y_m(\theta) = R_m(m)Y_m(m) + \int_{\theta}^m R_m(s)\psi(s, 0, 0) ds \\ - \int_{\theta}^m R_m(s)Z_m(s) dW_m(s).$$

- Take into account that $Y_m(m) = 0$:

$$Y_m(\theta) = \int_{\theta}^m R_m(s)\psi(s, 0, 0) ds - \int_{\theta}^m R_m(s)Z_m(s) dW_m(s).$$

Using Girsanov's theorem, we can consider W_m as a Brownian motion with respect to an equivalent measure \mathbb{Q}_m and hence, we get, \mathbb{Q}_m -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_m(\theta)| &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_m} [|Y_m(\theta)| \mid \mathcal{F}_\theta] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_m} \left[\int_\theta^\infty |\psi(s, 0, 0)| R_m(s) ds \mid \mathcal{F}_\theta \right] \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

In the end, this estimate assures also the boundedness of the limit process Y .

Problem for $n > 1$

If Y is a multi-dimensional process ($n > 1$), we cannot use this Girsanov trick, because each coordinate needs its own transformation, and these transformations are not consistent among each other.

So we are restricted to the case $\mu > \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2$, whereas the case $\mu > 0$ could have multiple interesting applications, e.g. in stochastic differential games or for homogenisation of PDEs.

Outline

- 1 General setup and standard results
 - The multi-dimensional nonlinear case
 - The one-dimensional nonlinear case
- 2 Multi-dimensional linear case

Multi-dimensional linear case

Let us now consider the following equation:

$$-dY_t = [AY_t + \sum_{j=1}^d \Gamma^j Z_t^j + f_t]dt - Z_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

- $A, \Gamma^j \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- Z_t^j denotes the j -th column vector of $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.
- $f_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded by K .
- A is assumed to be dissipative, i.e. there exists $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle y - y', A(y - y') \rangle \leq -\mu |y - y'|^2.$$

- The coefficients in equation (2) are non-stochastic and, except f_t , time-independent.

As in the one-dimensional non-linear case, we are interested in progressively measurable solutions (Y, Z) , such that Y is bounded. This can be achieved by establishing the above mentioned a priori estimate

$$|Y_m(\theta)| \leq \frac{K}{\mu}.$$

To this end, we consider the **dual process** to Y_m , denoted by X^x . This process satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = A^* X_t^x dt + \sum_{j=1}^d (\Gamma^j)^* X_t^x dW_t^j \\ X_\theta^x = x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

By Itô's formula and the Markov property of X^x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_m(\theta)| &\leq \sup_{|x|=1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\theta}^m \langle X_t^x, f_t \rangle dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\theta} \right] \\ &\leq K \sup_{|x|=1} \mathbb{E} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} |X_t^x| dt. \end{aligned}$$

\implies Question of L_1 -stability of X^x with $|x| = 1$. We need

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^{\infty} |X_t^x| dt \leq M.$$

Task: Find appropriate assumptions on Γ^j and μ .

Lyapunov approach

Try to find “Lyapunov” function $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

- (1) $v \geq 0$,
- (2) $v(x) \leq c|x|$, for some $c > 0$,
- (3) $[\mathcal{L}v](x) \leq -\delta|x|$, for some $\delta > 0$.

Here \mathcal{L} is the Kolmogorov operator of X^x , i.e.

$$dv(X_t^x) = [\mathcal{L}v](X_t^x)dt + \text{“martingale part”}.$$

This approach was used by Ichikawa (1984) to show stability properties of strongly continuous semigroups.

Itô's formula and the Markov property of X^x give us

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[v(X_t^x) - v(X_\theta^x)] &= \mathbb{E} \int_{\theta}^t [\mathcal{L}v](X_s^x) ds \\ &\leq -\delta \mathbb{E} \int_{\theta}^t |X_s^x| ds.\end{aligned}$$

By showing $\mathbb{E}[v(X_t^x)] \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} |X_s^x| ds &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[v(X_\theta^x)] \leq \frac{c}{\delta} |x| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\delta} =: M.\end{aligned}$$

How to find a Lyapunov function?

- First idea: $v(x) = |x|$.
- Problem: v is not C^2 , hence Itô's formula inapplicable.

- Second idea: Define, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \sqrt{|x|^2 + \varepsilon}.$$

- $v_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow |x|$.

How to proceed?

- Calculate $[\mathcal{L}v_\epsilon](x)$.
- Choose μ large enough, such that the coefficient in front of $|x|^4$ is negative. This choice will depend on Γ^j .
- Find appropriate $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$, $\kappa_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and split the integral on the RHS:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}v_\epsilon(X_t^x) - \mathbb{E}v_\epsilon(X_\theta^x) &= \mathbb{E} \int_\theta^t [\mathcal{L}v_\epsilon](X_s^x) ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_\theta^t [\mathcal{L}v_\epsilon](X_s^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_s^x| \geq \kappa_\epsilon\}} ds + \mathbb{E} \int_\theta^t [\mathcal{L}v_\epsilon](X_s^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_s^x| < \kappa_\epsilon\}} ds\end{aligned}$$

- Obtain with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t| - \mathbb{E}|X_\theta| \leq -\delta \mathbb{E} \int_\theta^t |X_s| ds.$$

- Apply Gronwall's lemma to $\Phi(t) := \mathbb{E}|X_t|$.

$$\implies \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|X_t| = 0$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E} \int_\theta^\infty |X_t| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} =: M$$

So X^x is L_1 -stable and equation (2) admits a bounded solution.

Simple example

Assume $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma := \max\{|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|\}$.

- $[\mathcal{L}v_\varepsilon](x) \leq \frac{[\frac{1}{8}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2 - \mu]|x|^4 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\gamma^2|x|^2}{(|x|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$
- For $\mu > \frac{1}{8}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)^2$ is X^x L_1 -stable, and equation (2) has a bounded solution.
- The general result from the first part requires the much stronger assumption

$$\mu > \frac{1}{2}\|\Gamma\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2).$$

L_2 -stability is strictly stronger than L_1 -stability.

Example

We take $n = d = 1$ and consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = -\mu X_t dt + \gamma X_t dW_t \\ X_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

The solution is a geometric Brownian motion

$$X_t = e^{-\mu t} e^{\gamma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 t}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t| = e^{-\mu t}, \quad \mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 = e^{-2\mu t} e^{\gamma^2 t}.$$

So X is L_1 -stable for each $\mu > 0$, but L_2 -stable only for $\mu > \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2$.

References

- **P. Briand and Y. Hu**, Stability of BSDEs with Random Terminal Time and Homogenization of Semilinear Elliptic PDEs, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 155 (1998), 455-494.
- **R. W. R. Darling and R. Pardoux**, Backwards SDE with random terminal time and applications to semilinear elliptic PDE, *Annals of Probability*, 25 (1997), 1135-1159.
- **M. Fuhrman, G. Tessitore**, Infinite Horizon Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Elliptic Equations in Hilbert Spaces, *Annals of Probability*, Vol. 32 No. 1B (2004), 607-660.
- **A. Ichikawa**, Equivalence of L_p Stability and Exponential Stability for a Class of Nonlinear Semigroups, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, Vol. 8 No. 7 (1984), 805-815.
- **A. Richou**, Ergodic BSDEs and related PDEs with Neumann boundary conditions, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 119 (2009), 2945-2969.