

Théorèmes limites pour des marches aléatoires affines conditionnées à rester positives

Ion Grama¹, Ronan Lauvergnat¹, Émile Le Page¹

¹Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Bretagne Atlantique
(LMBA, UMR CNRS 6205)
Université de Bretagne Sud, France

Rencontre
Martingales, chaînes de Markov et systèmes dynamiques,
Aber Wrac'h, 16-18/03/2016



Plan

- 1 Motivation.
- 2 Conditions, fonction harmonique, approximation par martingales.
- 3 Les résultats principaux.
- 4 Éléments de preuves, approximation KMT pour les chaînes de Markov.
- 5 Ouvertures.

Affine Markov walk

- 1 We study the sum $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, $n \geq 1$.
- 2 $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a Markov chain defined by the stochastic recursion

$$X_n = a_n X_{n-1} + b_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

where $(a_k, b_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. of the same law as the pair (a, b) .

Notations:

- $\mathbb{P}(x, \cdot)$ - the transition prob. of $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$
- $\mathbb{P}f(x) = \int f(x')\mathbb{P}(x, dx')$ - the transition operator:
- \mathbb{P}_x and \mathbb{E}_x - generated by $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $X_0 = x$.

Conditions of Guivarc'h and Le Page

- H1: $\mathbb{E} |\log |a|| < +\infty$, $\mathbb{E} |\log |b|| < +\infty$

There exists $\alpha > 2$ such that

- H2: $\phi(\alpha) = \mathbb{E} |a|^\alpha = 1$.
- H3: $\mathbb{E} |a|^\alpha |\log |a|| < +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E} |b|^\alpha < +\infty$.
- H4: No fixed point: $\mathbb{P}(ax + b = x) = 0$ for any x .

CLT

- 1 TLC (Guivarc'h and Le Page (2008)): Under H1-H4 there exist constants μ and $\sigma > 0$ such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t \right) \rightarrow \Phi(t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2)$$

There are easy expressions of μ and σ in terms of law of the pair (a, b) :

$$\mu = \frac{\mathbb{E}b}{1 - \mathbb{E}a}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}b^2}{1 - \mathbb{E}a^2} \frac{1 + \mathbb{E}a}{1 - \mathbb{E}a}.$$

- 2 In the sequel we consider that:

$$\mathbb{E}b = 0 \quad \text{so that} \quad \mu = 0.$$

The exit time

- 1 Consider the affine Markov walk $y + S_n$ with starting point $y > 0$.
- 2 The exit time from \mathbb{R}_+^* is defined by

where
$$\tau_y = \min \{k \geq 1 : y + S_k \leq 0\},$$

$$\{\tau_y > n\} = \{y + S_1 > 0, \dots, y + S_n > 0\}.$$

The problem is twofold:

- 1 Determine the asymptotic of the probability $\Pr(\tau_V > n)$.
- 2 Determine the asymptotic of the conditional distribution of $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}(y + S_n)$, given the event $\{\tau_V > n\}$.

Previous results:

- For sums of i.i.d. r.v.'s in \mathbb{R}^1 : Bolthausen (1972), Iglehart (1974), Spitzer (1976), Doney (1985), Bertoin and Doney (1994), Borovkov (2004), Vatutin and Wachtel (2009); (by **Wiener-Hopf factorization**).
- Markov chains: Varopoulos (1999) - upper and lower bounds for $\Pr(\tau_V > n)$.
- I.i.d. in \mathbb{R}^d : Eischelsbacher and Konig (2008), Denisov and Wachtel (2009, 2011).

Motivation: products of random matrices

G., Le Page and Peigné (2015)

- 1 Denote by \mathbb{B} the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d and by \mathbb{B}^c its complement. Let v be a starting vector: $v \in \mathbb{B}^c$.
- 2 Assume that g_1, \dots, g_n are independent random elements of \mathbb{G} with common distribution μ . Assume that the upper Lyapunov exponent $\gamma = 0$.
- 3 Define the exit time of the random process $G_n v$ from \mathbb{B}^c by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_v &= \min \{n \geq 1 : g_n \dots g_1 v \in \mathbb{B}\} \\ &= \min \{n \geq 1 : \log \|g_n \dots g_1 v\| \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Products of r.m.'s

P1: exponential moments for $\|g\|$ and $\|g^{-1}\|$.

P2: irreducibility. **P2:** proximality.

Theorem 1

Assume conditions **P1-P3**. Then, for any starting point $v \in \mathbb{B}^c$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_v > n) = \frac{2V(v)}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi n}} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where V is a positive **harmonic function** on \mathbb{B}^c .

Moreover, for any starting point $v \in \mathbb{B}^c$, and for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\log \|g_n \cdots g_1 v\|}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t \mid \tau_v > n \right) = \Phi^+(t),$$

where $\Phi^+(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right)$ is the Rayleigh distribution.

Products of r.m.'s: moments

Denote $N(g) = \max \{ \|g\|, \|g^{-1}\| \}$ (≥ 1 , since $\|g^{-1}\| \geq \|g\|^{-1}$).
Our first condition requires exponential moments of $\log N(g)$.

P1 (Exponential moments):

There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{G}} \exp(\delta_0 \log N(g)) \mu(dg) = \int_{\mathbb{G}} N(g)^{\delta_0} \mu(dg) < \infty.$$

The CLT under less restrictive moment assumptions (only the second moment of $\log N(g)$) have been obtained only recently.



We refer to the book of Benoist and Quint (2013).

Products of r.m.'s: irreducibility

Definition: a) A subset \mathbb{T} of \mathbb{G} is irreducible if there is no proper linear subspace \mathbb{S} of \mathbb{V} such that, for any $g \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$g(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{S}.$$

b) A subset \mathbb{T} of \mathbb{G} is strongly irreducible if there is **no finite family** of proper linear subspaces $\mathbb{S}_1, \dots, \mathbb{S}_m$ of \mathbb{V} such that, for any $g \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$g(\mathbb{S}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{S}_m) = \mathbb{S}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{S}_m.$$

Example: $g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is irreducible but not strongly irreducible.

P2 (Strong irreducibility):

The support $\text{supp } \mu$ of μ acts strongly irreducibly on \mathbb{V} .

This condition requires, roughly speaking, that the dimension of $\text{supp } \mu$ cannot be reduced.

Products of r.m.'s: contraction property

Let \mathbb{T}_μ be the closed semigroup generated by $\text{supp}\mu$.

P3 (Proximality):

\mathbb{T}_μ contains a contracting sequence for the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V})$.

- Consider a sequence $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{G} . Any $G_n \in \mathbb{G}$ admits a **polar decomposition**: $G_n = H_n^1 A_n H_n^2$, where H_n^1, H_n^2 are orthogonal and A_n is diagonal with diagonal entries $A_n(1) \geq \dots \geq A_n(d) > 0$.
- Def. (Contracting sequence):** The sequence $(G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is contracting if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log A_n(1) - \log A_n(2)) = \infty$.

Example: Let $G_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^n$, $|\lambda| < 1$. Then $G_n \cdot \bar{v} = \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix}} v \rightarrow \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- For example **P3** is satisfied if \mathbb{T}_μ contains a matrix with a simple eigenvalue of maximal modulus.

The idea of the proof for products of r.m.

- Consider the homogenous Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with values in the product space $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V})$ and initial value $X_0 = (g, \bar{v}) \in \mathbb{X}$ by setting:

$$X_{n+1} = (g_{n+1}, g_n \dots g_1 g \cdot \bar{v}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

- Recall that the norm cocycle is: $\rho(g, \bar{v}) := \log \frac{\|g\bar{v}\|}{\|\bar{v}\|}$, for $(g, \bar{v}) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V})$.

- Markov walk representation:** iterating the cocycle property

$$\rho(g''g', \bar{v}) = \rho(g'', g' \cdot \bar{v}) + \rho(g', \bar{v})$$

$$\log \|g_n \dots g_1 g v\| = y + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(X_k) = y + S_n, \quad y = \log \|g v\|$$

- Martingale approximation:**

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{n \geq 0} |S_n - M_n| \leq c \right) = 1.$$

Return to affine Markov walks: Conditions

Condition 1 (which implies \exists of the spectral gap).

- There exists $\alpha > 2$ s.t. $\phi(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha \log|a|}) = \mathbb{E}(|a|^\alpha) < 1$ and $\mathbb{E}(|b|^\alpha) < +\infty$.
- b is non-degenerated ($\mathbb{P}(b \neq 0) > 0$) and $\mathbb{E}(b) = 0$.

C.1 is less restrictive than the conditions H1-H4 of Guivarc'h and Le Page:

Refined CLT: Under Condition 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_t \left| \mathbb{P}_x \left(\frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t \right) - \Phi(t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{p,\varepsilon}}{n^\varepsilon} (1 + |x|^p). \quad (3)$$

The CLT above is a consequence of more general results for the associated Markov walk $(y + S_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Further conditions C2 and C3 are related to the **harmonic function**.

Harmonic function

- $(X_n, y + S_n)$ est une chaîne de Markov.
- $Q(x, y, dx', dy')$ - the transition probability of $(X_n, y + S_n)$.
- $Q_+(x, y, dx', dy')$ - the restriction on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$.

1 Definition:

The function $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive Q_+ -harmonic if

$$Q_+ V(x, y) = V(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*.$$

2 Equivalent formulation: Doob's transform

The function $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive Q_+ -harmonic if

$$QV(X_1, y + S_1; \tau_y > 1) = V(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

or, by iteration,

$$QV(X_n, y + S_n; \tau_y > n) = V(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*, n \geq 1.$$

More conditions: Positivity of the harmonic function

Conditions for the existence of a positive harmonic function:

Condition 2. (si $\mathbb{E}(a) \geq 0$) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$:

- $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > 1) = \mathbb{P}_x(y + X_1 > 0) = \mathbb{P}(ax + b > -y) > 0.$

Condition 3. (si $\mathbb{E}(a) < 0$) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0 \exists n_0 \geq 1$ s.t.

- $\mathbb{P}_x(y + S_{n_0} > C(1 + |X_{n_0}|^p, \tau_y > n_0) > 0.$

Martingale approximation

- Consider the Poisson equation: $u - \mathbb{P}u = Id$

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^k Id(x) = \frac{x}{1 - \mathbb{E}a}.$$

Define Gordin's \mathbb{P}_x -martingale:

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\theta(X_k) - \mathbb{P}\theta(X_{k-1})).$$

- In another form:

$$M_0 = 0, \quad M_n = S_n + \frac{\mathbb{E}a}{1 - \mathbb{E}a} (X_n - x).$$

- With the notation $\rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}a}{1 - \mathbb{E}a}$ we have:

$$(y + S_n) - (z_{x,y} + M_n) = -\rho X_n, \quad z_{x,y} = y + \rho x, \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Existence of the harmonic function

Theorem 1

Assume $\mathbb{E}a \geq 0$, C1, C2 or $\mathbb{E}a < 0$, C1, C3. Then:

- 1 for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, it holds $\mathbb{E}_x (|M_{\tau_y}|) < +\infty$ and therefore the function $V(x, y) = -\mathbb{E}_x M_{\tau_y}$ is well defined.
- 2 the function V is positive and \mathbb{Q}_+ -harmonic:

$$\mathbb{Q}_+ V(x, y) = V(x, y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0.$$

- 3 properties:

- for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$: $V(x, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing
- for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$: $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(x, y)}{y} = 1$
- $V(x, y) \geq \max\{0, (1 + \delta)y - c_{\delta, p}(1 + |x|^p)\}$
- $V(x, y) \leq \left(1 + \delta(1 + |x|^{p-1})\right) y + c_{\delta, p}(1 + |x|^p)$,
for any $\delta > 0, p \in (2, \alpha)$.

Main results

Theorem 2

Assume $\mathbb{E}a \geq 0$, C1, C2 or $\mathbb{E}a < 0$, C1, C3. Then:

- 1 for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, it holds

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n) \leq c_p(1 + y + |x|^p), \quad \text{with } p > 2.$$

- 2 for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and fixed $y > 0$, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n) \sim \frac{2V(x, y)}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma}}.$$

- Corollary: for any $\gamma < 1$ and $p > 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x(\tau_y^\gamma) \leq c_{p,\gamma}(1 + y + |x|^p).$$

Main results

Theorem 3

Assume $\mathbb{E}a \geq 0$, C1, C2 or $\mathbb{E}a < 0$, C1, C3. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(\frac{y + S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t \mid \tau_y > n \right) \rightarrow \Phi^+(t),$$

where $\Phi^+(t) = 1 - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$ is the Rayleigh d.f.

Extension for $y < 0$:

Define $\mathcal{D}^+ = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_- : \mathbb{P}_x(ax + b > -y) > 0\}$. Then

- 1 V is Q_+ -harmonic on $\mathcal{D}^+ \cup \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$
and
- 2 Theorem 2 and 3 hold true for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}^+$.

Discussion on Conditions 2 and 3

The case $\mathbb{E}(a) \geq 0$ ($\mathbb{E}b = 0$, b non-degenerated)

- **C2.** $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > 1) = \mathbb{P}_x(y + X_1 > 0) = \mathbb{P}(ax + b > -y) > 0$.
- **C2a.** a, b dependent, $\mathbb{P}(b \geq C | a|) > 0$, for any $C > 0$.
- **C2b.** a, b independent, b no conditions (for example: b - Rademacher), $\mathbb{P}(|a| \leq \varepsilon) > 0$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ (for instance $a = 0$).
- **C2c.** a, b independent, a no conditions, $\mathbb{P}(b > A) > 0$ for any $A > 0$.

The case $\mathbb{E}(a) < 0$ ($\mathbb{E}b = 0$, b non-degenerated)

- **C3.** $\mathbb{P}(y + S_{n_0} > C(1 + |X_{n_0}|^p, \tau_y > n_0) > 0$.
- **C3a.** a, b independent, b no conditions, $\mathbb{P}(a \in (-1, 0)) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(a \in (0, 1)) > 0$.
- **C3b.** a, b dependent, $\mathbb{P}((a, b) \in (-1, 0) \times [0, c]) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}((a, b) \in (0, 1) \times [0, c]) > 0$, for some $c > 0$.

Proofs

Existence of the positive harmonic function (the case $\mathbb{E}(a) \geq 0$)

It is important to approximate $y + S_n$ by a martingale:

$$(y + S_n) - (z_{x,y} + M_n) = -\rho X_n, \quad z_{x,y} = y + \rho x, \quad \rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}a}{1 - \mathbb{E}a}.$$

Etape 1. Integrability of $|M_{\tau_y}|$ (main difficulty)

- $(z_{x,y} + M_n)1_{\{\tau_y > n\}}$ is a submartingale:
 $u_n = \mathbb{E}_x(z_{x,y} + M_n; \tau_y > n)$ is increasing.
- we show that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$u_n \leq \left(1 + \frac{C_\rho}{n^\varepsilon}\right) u_{[n^{1-\varepsilon}]} + \frac{1}{n^\varepsilon} C_\rho (1 + y + |x|)(1 + |x|^{p-1}),$$

which implies that u_n is uniformly bounded in n .

- $\mathbb{E}_x(|z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}|; \tau_y \leq n) = -\mathbb{E}_x(z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}; \tau_y \leq n)$
 $= -\mathbb{E}_x(z_{x,y} + M_n; \tau_y \leq n) = -\mathbb{E}_x(z_{x,y} + M_n) + u_n = -z_{x,y} + u_n,$

using the fact that $\rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}a}{1 - \mathbb{E}a} \geq 0$ implies an ordering among $y + S_n$ and $z_{x,y} + M_n$ on $\{\tau_y = n\}$:

$$(z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}) = (y + S_{\tau_y}) + \rho X_{\tau_y} \leq (z + S_{\tau_y}) \leq 0,$$

where $X_{\tau_y} \leq 0$ by the definition of τ_y (and by th. de convergence monotone).

Proofs

Etape 2. Harmonicity: The function $V(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_x M_{\tau_y} \exists$.

- We show that

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_x (z_{x,y} + M_n; \tau_y > n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_x (y + S_n; \tau_y > n) \end{aligned}$$

- **Proof (first):** using dominated conv. theorem as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \mathbb{E}_x (z_{x,y} + M_n; \tau_y > n) = \mathbb{E}_x (z_{x,y} + M_n) - \mathbb{E}_x (z_{x,y} + M_n; \tau_y \leq n) \\ &= z_{x,y} - \mathbb{E}_x (z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}; \tau_y \leq n) \rightarrow -\mathbb{E}_x (M_{\tau_y}) = V(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

- **Proof (second):** use $(y + S_n) - (z_{x,y} + M_n) \sim X_n$ and moments assumptions.
- **Harmonicity is easy:** by Markov's property

$$V_n(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_x (y + S_n; \tau_y > n) = \mathbb{E}_x V_{n-1}(X_1, y + S_1; \tau_y > 1)$$

Using an upper bound for V and taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ it follows that V is a Doob's transform.

Proofs

Etape 3. Positivity:

- Since V is a Doob transform

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &= \mathbb{E}_x (V(X_1, y + S_1); \tau_y > 1) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_x (V(X_1, y + S_1); \tau_y > 1, B), \end{aligned}$$

where the event B will be chosen below.

- Minoration:

$$V(x, y) = -\mathbb{E}_x(M_{\tau_y}) = z_{x,y} - \mathbb{E}_x(z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}) \geq z_{x,y} = y + \rho x$$

again we used: $\rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}a}{1-\mathbb{E}a} \geq 0$ implies the ordering $(z_{x,y} + M_{\tau_y}) \leq (y + S_{\tau_y}) \leq 0$

- Positivity: with $B = \left\{ X_1 > \frac{-y}{2(1+\rho)} \right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) &\geq \mathbb{E}_x (V(X_1, y + S_1); \tau_y > 1, B) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_x (y + S_1 + \rho X_1; \tau_y > 1, B) \\ &\geq \frac{y}{2} \mathbb{P}_x (X_1 > -y/2(1 + \rho)) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

by C2.

Komlos-Major-Tusnady approximation

- As to the asymptotic properties of the exit time τ_y and of the conditional distribution $\Pr\left(\frac{y+S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t \mid \tau_y > n\right)$ they are deduced from the respective properties of the continuous time standard Brownian motion (B_s) .

Theorem (G - Le Page - Peigné 2014)

Under **C1** there is a construction on the same probability space of the associated Markov process (S_k) and of a standard Brownian motion (B_t) such that for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(n^{-1/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |S_{[tn]} - \sigma B_{tn}| > n^{-\varepsilon} \right) \leq c_{p,\varepsilon} n^{-\varepsilon} (1 + |x|^p),$$

where $c_{p,\varepsilon}$ is a constant depending only on $p > 2$ and ε .

More general result on KMT approximation result for Markov chains:

$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)$ and (X_k) is a Markov chain.

Komlos-Major-Tusnady approximation

$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)$, where (X_k) is a Markov chain with values in \mathbb{X} and f a real function on \mathbb{X} .

Theorem (G - Le Page - Peigné 2014)

Assume that the Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and the function f satisfy the hypotheses **M1**, **M2**, **M3** and **M4**, with $\sigma > 0$. Let $0 < \alpha < \delta$. Then there exists a Markov transition kernel $x \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x(\cdot)$ from $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ to $(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Omega}))$ such that $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(\tilde{Y}_i\right)_{i \geq 1} \mid \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{L}\left(\left(f(X_i)\right)_{i \geq 1} \mid \mathbb{P}_x\right)$, the $W_i, i \geq 1$, are independent standard normal r.v.'s under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x$ and for any $0 < \rho < \frac{\alpha}{2(1+2\alpha)}$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x \left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{k \leq N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\tilde{Y}_i - \mu - \sigma W_i \right) \right| > 6N^{-\rho} \right) \leq C(x) N^{-\alpha \frac{1+\alpha}{1+2\alpha} + \rho(2+2\alpha)},$$

with $C(x) = C_1 (1 + \mu_\delta(x) + \|\delta_x\|_{\mathcal{B}'})^{2+2\delta}$, where C_1 is a constant depending only on $\delta, \alpha, \kappa, C_P, C_Q, \|e\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{B}'}$.

Exit time for the Brownian motion

Define the exit time $\tau_y^{bm} = \inf\{t \geq 0, y + \sigma B_t \leq 0\}$.

The following assertion is due to Levy (1954).

- For any $y > 0$, $0 \leq a \leq b$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_y^{bm} > n\right) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma}} \int_0^y e^{-\frac{s^2}{2n\sigma^2}} ds.$$

From this one can deduce easily:

- 1 For any $y > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_y^{bm} > n\right) \leq c \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

- 2 For any sequence of real numbers $(\theta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\theta_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$,

$$\sup_{y \in [0; \theta_n \sqrt{n}]} \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_y^{bm} > n\right)}{\frac{2y}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma}}} - 1 \right) = O(\theta_n^2).$$

Exit time for $(y + S_n)$

The KMT approximation allows to prove the following intermediate result for y large enough:

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $(\theta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\theta_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta_n n^{\varepsilon/4} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then:

- 1 There exists a constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ and $y \geq n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n) \leq c_\varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

- 2 There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for n sufficiently large,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}, y \in [n^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \theta_n n^{1/2}]} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n)}{\frac{2y}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma}}} - 1 \right| \leq c\theta_n.$$

Asymptotic for τ_y

- $$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n) = \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n; \nu_n \leq n^{1-\varepsilon})}_{J_1} + \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n; \nu_n > n^{1-\varepsilon})}_{J_2}.$$

- By Markov property

$$J_1 = \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_y > n; \nu_n \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}) =$$

$$\int \int \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\nu_n} \in dx', y + S_{\nu_n} \in dy'; \tau_y > \nu_n; \nu_n \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}) \\ \times \mathbb{P}_{x'}(\tau_{y'} > n - \nu_n)$$

$$\text{since } \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{y'} > n - \nu_n) = \frac{2y'}{\sqrt{2\pi(n-\nu_n)}} = \frac{2y'}{\sqrt{2\pi n}}(1 + o(1))$$





$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \mathbb{E}(y + S_{\nu_n}; \tau_y > \nu_n; \nu_n \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} V(x, y).$$

- Rappel: $\mathbb{E}(y + S_n; \tau_y > n) \rightarrow V(x, y).$

Replace n by ν_n

Future investigations

- 1 Local theorem: rates $n^{-3/2}$
- 2 The case $\mathbb{E}(b) < 0$.
- 3 Matrix affine random walks or more general Markov chains

-  Le Page, E. (1982). Théorèmes limites pour les produits de matrices aléatoires. *Springer Lecture Notes*, **928**, 258-303.
-  Grama, I., Le Page, E. and Peigné, M. (2014). On the rate of convergence in the invariance principle for dependent random variables with applications to Markov chains. *Colloquium Mathematicum*. **134**, 1-55.
-  Grama, I., Le Page, E. and Peigné, M. (2015). Conditional limit theorems for products of random matrices. *Submitted*. *arXiv:1411.0423*
-  Grama, I., Lauvergnot, R. and Le Page, E. (2016). Limit theorems for affine Markov walks conditioned to stay positive. *Submitted*.